

# 4 Year In Home Study

## Post Visit Ratings sheets, coded for raw data

Entries in blue denote column/field names and values used in the raw data.

This document created on 13 July 2007.

### Notes:

1. For some items, the value codes used in the raw data may differ from the codes used in the final dataset
2. For all items, the variable names used in the raw data differ from the variable names used in the final dataset (starting with 'e')
3. In raw data generally, a 'missing' response is coded as -99 and 'not applicable' is coded as -77. These universal codes are not shown for every item in this document, for reasons of space. (Note that in the analysis dataset these are recoded to missing values.)
4. Most of the data recorded in these sheets is coded numeric data (e.g. yes/no responses coded 1/0). However a couple of items are entered as free numeric responses (where the tester has recorded an uncoded number).
5. Free text responses, which are invited for a couple of items on these sheets, have not been taken up electronically in the raw data.
6. All data in a Post Visit Ratings sheet is specific to the tester assigned to a particular twin (either elder or younger). However, in the double-entered dataset the variables are duplicated for the co-twins, so data for both twins (or in this case, both testers) can be compared within a single row of the dataset. Hence each raw variable shown in this document, if it is included in the dataset, is renamed to two variables with names ending in '1' and '2', denoting the current twin (either elder or younger) and the co-twin respectively. This is more fully documented elsewhere.
7. This document only shows raw items, not variables derived from the items
8. The layout and formatting of the pages of the booklet have been slightly modified to incorporate the variable names and codes.

The variable names shown in this document refer to column names in the 'PostVisit' table in the Access database 'inhome.mdb'.

Child ID: [twinid](#) (number with 7 or 8 digits, as used in the TEDS admin database)

Tester: (not explicitly recorded here, but the tester for a given twin is identified in the twin test data)

## POST VISIT RATINGS

- 1) **What type of home does the family live in?** [pv1hom](#)
- 1 1 council estate/high-rise flat  
2 2 private flat/part of a private house  
3 3 terrace/semi detached house  
4 4 detached house  
5 5 other \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) **About how many people live in the home?** [pv2peo](#) (free numeric)
- 3) **About how many rooms (excluding bathrooms and toilets) does the house have?**  
[pv3room](#) (free numeric)
- 4) **How clean was the *inside of the house*?** [pv4icl](#)
- 1 1 very clean; no bugs, bad smells, clutter etc.  
2 2  
3 3 somewhat clean; nothing unhealthy, but some clutter  
4 4  
5 5 very dirty; many bugs, bad smells, trash, clutter
- 5) **Did you observe children's books *inside the house*?** [pv5ibk](#) 1 yes / no 0
- 6) **Did you observe age-appropriate toys for the twins *inside*?** [pv6itoy](#) 1 yes / no 0
- 7) **Did you observe bugs *inside*?** [pv7ibug](#) 1 yes / no 0
- 8) **Did you observe uncomfortable heat/cold *inside*?** [pv8itemp](#) 1 yes / no 0
- 9) **Did you observe alcohol *inside*?** [pv9ialc](#) 1 yes / no 0
- 10) **Did you observe pictures of family/children *inside*?** [pv10ipic](#) 1 yes / no 0
- 11) **How clean was the *outside of the house*?** [pv11ocl](#)
- 1 1 very clean; no bad smells, trash etc.  
2 2  
3 3 somewhat clean; nothing unhealthy, but some trash  
4 4  
5 5 very dirty; lots of trash, bad smells
- 12) **Did you observe a playground *outside the house*?** [pv12opl](#) 1 yes / no 0
- 13) **Did you observe age-appropriate toys for the twins *outside*?** [pv13otoy](#) 1 yes / no 0
- 14) **Did you observe broken glass *outside*?** [pv14ogl](#) 1 yes / no 0
- 15) **Did you observe alcohol or drug paraphernalia *outside*?** [pv15oalc](#) 1 yes / no 0
- 16) **Did you observe busy traffic *outside*?** [pv16otra](#) 1 yes / no 0
- 17) **Did you observe adults/teens observing children *outside*?** [pv17oad0](#) 1 yes / no 0
- 18) **Did you observe adults/teens hanging out (not family) *outside*?** [pv18oadh](#) 1 yes / no 0
- 19) **Did you observe a safe play area (e.g. garden) *outside*?** [pv19osaf](#) 1 yes / no 0

20) **Was there anything else you saw inside of outside that could be dangerous for the twins?** [pv20odan](#)

1 yes / no 0

21) **How warm were the observed parent-child interactions?** [pv21pc](#)

- 1 1 cold, distant, unfriendly
- 2 2 reserved
- 3 3 average
- 4 4 somewhat warm and positive
- 5 5 warm, positive, affectionate

22) **Based on what the parent and child said and what you observed while in the house, rate the parent-child relationship quality.** [pv22rel](#)

- 1 1 hostile, very negative
- 2 2 slightly negative
- 3 3 average
- 4 4 good for the most part
- 5 5 warm, positive, almost ideal

23) **How much did the parent yell or raise her/his voice with the child?** [pv23yell](#)

- 1 1 not at all
- 2 2 a little
- 3 3 some
- 4 4 more than average
- 5 5 a lot

24) **How well did the parent seem to know his/her child?** [pv24kno](#)

- 1 1 not very well
- 2 2 not as well as most parents
- 3 3 about as well as most parents
- 4 4 better than most parents
- 5 5 very well

25) **How much joy did the parent seem to experience in the parenting role?** [pv25joy](#)

- 1 1 none at all
- 2 2 a little
- 3 3 some
- 4 4 more than average
- 5 5 a lot

26) **Was there any reason to doubt the validity of the mother's responses?** [pv26mv](#)

1 yes / no 0  
if yes, why?

27) **Was there any reason to doubt the validity of the child's responses?** [pv27cv](#)

1 yes / no 0  
if yes, why?